

**WALDO COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COURT SESSION
JULY 13, 2010**

PRESENT: Commissioners Amy R. Fowler, Donald P. Berry and William D. Shorey. Also present was County Clerk Barbara L. Arseneau.

Commissioner Berry called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

TREASURER'S REPORT:

Present with the Commissioners were Treasurer David Parkman and Deputy Treasurer Karen Trussell. D. Parkman reported the following:

REVENUE REPORT:

D. Parkman reported that 63% of the 2010 County revenue has been received. The maximum would be 55%, so he reported this as "pretty much up to snuff." He did, however, note that the County has done better in previous years. W. Shorey noted that this would not get better in the near future. Probate brought in \$8,423.00 in the month of June, which was unusually high. Many people are coming in for passports, etc.

APPROPRIATIONS:

D. Parkman said the total County 2010 budget expenditure could be at 55%, noting that some of the departments have spent that much. He commented that the Commissioners "always start out fast and furious in the beginning of the year," but have tapered off now at 46%. Probate Court Appointments were reported to be looking "very good." The Sheriff's budget is at 55% expended. The Communications Center budget is 53% expended. The overall budget is at 50%, but D. Parkman cautioned that there was "no wiggle room" and that they would have to see how it went by the end of the year.

CORRECTIONS:

The Corrections budget is 89% expended for 2010, which does not include today's figures. D. Parkman reported that it should end up at \$238,858.96 once today's figures have been taken out of the account. He noted that the future of any leftover money will be determined by the Legislature, as the Sheriff's Association has put in a bill to try and pool that money in a special account. The outcome remains to be seen. D. Parkman felt that this was just another board to go through. K. Trussell expressed her view that Waldo County's money should not be spent on another jail.

The year ended June 30, 2010. It was noted that there hasn't been a full year of the Re-entry center running, as it opened in December of 2009. W. Shorey asked if the remaining funds would stay with the County and was told yes. A. Fowler said that those counties that had mission changes are not costing the State money; they are giving the State money. She noted that the State hasn't quite figured out how to deal with them and their surplus yet. The other jails spend exactly what they are allowed. A. Fowler expressed her opinion that she would not mind using some of the Waldo County surplus to assist other jails that need help. D. Parkman referenced Two-Bridges Jail and the fact that they have had to beg for the last few months in order to receive their funds from the State to keep operating. He noted that the State wants to cut the budget for Two-Bridges, which would impact Waldo County's ability to send inmates there.

A. Fowler said that the argument has been that "no Jail has gone bankrupt yet, so either their budget is too 'puffed or they don't need that much." A. Fowler expressed her view that a budget is a budget. If a jail is allotted a certain amount, that is what each jail has. If that jail is able to find ways to save money, the saved

money should be allowed to be kept by that county jail for a future bad year. She explained that the Aroostook County Jail was “in the red” for medical expenses, had sent a written communication and has never received a response, just like the Waldo County Treasurer’s inquiry, which also has never been acknowledged.

W. Shorey suggested that Waldo County should be careful about any saved money due to the fact that the mission change did not occur until late in 2009. He thought that should be retained, as it may be needed later. The Commissioners noted that the Treasurer had not made a mistake in sending the total cap money in. They acknowledged that the County obeyed the law and the Treasurer had done what he was supposed to. There was additional discussion on the debt situation with the State government, and D. Parkman noted that this is the first time the State has been receiving funds from property taxes through the Jail Caps.

WARRANTS:

D. Parkman read the specific expenditures from the June 30th and July 8th Reserve Accounts payable warrants, which are included in the amounts below:

****D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the June 30, 2010 General Fund Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$127,455.49 as well as the June 24, 2010 General Fund Payroll warrant in the amount of \$94,993.16. Unanimous.**

****D. Berry moved, W. Shorey seconded to authorize payment of the June 30, 2010 Reentry (formerly “Jail”) Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$111,618,86 and the June 24, 2010 Re-entry Payroll warrant in the amount of \$23,329.54. Unanimous.**

****D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the June 30, 2010 Active/Restricted Reserve warrant in the amount of \$35,770.70. Unanimous.**

****D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the June 30, 2010 Reentry (former “Corrections”) Final Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$68,175.61. Unanimous.**

****D. Berry moved, W. Shorey seconded to authorize payment of the July 13, 2010 General Fund Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$38,789.40 and the July 8, 2010 General Payroll in the amount of \$114,597.91. Unanimous.**

****D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the July 13, 2010 Reentry Accounts Payable warrant in the amount of \$8,669.71 and the July 8, 2010 Reentry Payroll warrant in the amount of \$23,139.57. Unanimous.**

****D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to authorize payment of the July 13, 2010 Restricted Reserve warrant in the amount of \$6,779.87. Unanimous.**

****A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to accept the Treasurer’s report as presented. Unanimous.**

W. Shorey expressed concern that the Auditor had not yet produced the internal controls as promised. The other Commissioners noted that they had just finally stopped inquiring about them, as they were not getting answers. They discussed possibly trying to put something together themselves, if only they had a clear

understanding of what needed to be accomplished. D. Parkman said that he would call the Auditor and check on this, and would also find out when the audit would be done.

FACILITIES REPORT:

Present for this report was Facilities Manager Keith Nealley, reporting the following:

1. An air conditioning unit is not working at Superior Courthouse, and he has called the repairman.
2. The ADA renovations in the upstairs District Court space are 90% complete. In Superior Courthouse, the ADA renovations are also ongoing. He briefly detailed the work being done.
3. K. Nealley thanked the Commissioners for sending out a memo regarding adhering to the pick-up times for the courier service. It has improved.
4. The generator is nearly done being installed at Aborn Hill and it is anticipated that it should be done today.
5. There was a little “fender-bender” with the Facilities Van. Nothing structural was damaged, so K. Nealley will touch it up with paint, depending on if it is under the \$1,000.00 deductible. The van had a 10-year registration that had run out, but has now been registered.
6. Some of the State court employees have been inquiring about the possible relocating of the District Attorney’s Offices to the opposite side of the hallway at the Superior Courthouse. This would give the District Attorney’s employees access to each others’ offices without having to go out into the hallway and would alleviate a current security issue. K. Nealley has told them it is still being researched. There have also been questions about air conditioning in the Superior Courthouse. No action was taken by the Commissioners at this time on these matters.

SPILLMAN RESPONSE PLANS:

Present for this discussion were Technology Consultant James Arseneau, EMA Director Dale Rowley and Communications Center Director Owen Smith. Dale Rowley discussed the problems with responses not always being dispatched because the right protocol has not always been found. This would eliminate interpretation issues on the part of Dispatch, and would prevent irritation with the Fire Chiefs in the various communities. All 26 Towns can have response plans in the Geo-base. Right now, they dispatch the town. Now a specific piece of equipment or vehicle could be dispatched. D. Rowley has various teams – HAZMAT, pet shelter, etc. and these could be programmed in to be called as appropriate. He expressed that he is very interested in this. J. Arseneau said Geo-base is involved with mapping for the entire County. The response plans were something that originally was planned for the system, but with “the debacle of Belfast not wanting this,” it was dropped. Even from a law enforcement standpoint, there are protocols that could be utilized. D. Rowley noted that floor plans, pictures of schools could be entered, along with specific information. It can also tie in with HAZMAT data, and CAMEO (chemical) data can also be fed into this. D. Rowley submitted a document to the Commissioners with a breakdown of the total costs. The total needed from the County was listed in red. The rest is all state or federal money that can be obtained. A planner could be hired to input the information, this kind of money.

W. Shorey asked if the County of Waldo would be jumping the gun in view of the PSAP discussions going on. D. Rowley, O. Smith and J. Arseneau explained that this would not be impacted by the PSAPS. When asked why this was coming up now, D. Rowley explained that fire chiefs and EMS have been complaining

about dispatching issues for years. He had not known how to fix this until he learned that Spillman could take care of this. He noted that items for law enforcement had been purchased, but Fire and EMS had been ignored. O. Smith confirmed J. Arseneau's explanation that Belfast and even the Waldo County Sheriff had not supported this in the beginning.

D. Rowley spent a few minutes explaining how much HAZMAT detail could be entered into this system.

W. Shorey asked if the federal and state money had been around for some time, and D. Rowley explained that some of it has. "Maine has a problem. It doesn't fund its statewide EMA problem at all. What happens is a lot of the states put a lot of money into their EMPG Program (Emergency Management Performance Grant) and look to get money back. Maine does not have that problem because it never comes up with enough need to obtain the money and always sends it back to the federal government," D. Rowley explained. D. Rowley said he had a little over \$1,100.00 for a planner. The State Emergency Response System used to send money, up until recently. They just changed their whole policy – any county that has money left over, they will either take back or not provide any more money until the money is used up. "Counties that spend their money will be rewarded with more money annually; counties that are frugal will be [penalized] for that," he explained. W. Shorey thanked all for the explanations.

This system in Spillman would allow for more clearly identified dispatching of specific people, equipment, etc. This can include schools, certain residents with specialized needs, etc. W. Shorey wondered which other counties had this program. D. Rowley explained that most of the urban towns and counties have it. J. Arseneau said most purchased it with the Fire and EMS components, and not just law enforcement. Waldo did not do that in the beginning. The idea was that at the end of the seven-year lease with Spillman, missing components would hopefully be added. J. Arseneau explained to the Commissioners that the maintenance for this would be an annual cost.

D. Rowley noted that one of the pieces would require more involvement to the towns and agencies, which met with mixed reviews. The piece being presented here was for the County to do the work, with minimal work from the towns and agencies.

****A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded fund this \$28,500.00 as follows: \$17,511.00 from the Hazmat/LEPC Reserve for Response Plans, \$2,489.00 from the HazMat/LEPC Reserve for the GeoBase Conversion and \$8,500.00 from the Technology Reserve for the GeoBase Conversion. Unanimous.**

EMA VEHICLE: D. Rowley requested authorization to use for the Emergency Management Agency one of the Sheriff's vehicles that is being taken out of service. The Commissioners agreed to this request.

SPILLMAN UPGRADE AND SECURMANAGE: J. Arseneau had sent a memo to the Commissioners dated June 30, 2010 in which he explained that during a discussion with Commissioner Fowler concerning the Corrections Budget, he wished to recommend that the Commissioners consider the following proposals:

The Spillman software purchased by the County of Waldo in 2006 comes with free software upgrades as long as the maintenance contract is keep up to date. The original purchase included maintenance for seven years. The County has been able to benefit from two software upgrades that brought new features to the Spillman system. During the last quarter of 2008 the new Sentryx 6.0 was released that provided a new look to the imaging module and Corrections package. Included was an update to the date file structures as well as visual involvements. This feature allows "users to see and analyze relationships between data,"

according to the Spillman literature. Later this year (September 2010), Sentryx 6.1 will provide further improvements to the Corrections module as well as several other modules including Geobase (used to valid addresses to actual 911 addresses) and File attachments.

At this time the County cannot upgrade to the 6.1 because the significant changes to the entire Corrections module would require the entire Corrections staff to be re-trained. This would require a Spillman Trainer here for two days for two weeks to train the four teams. The trainer cost from Spillman would be \$17,000.00 and then the four teams would need wages (overtime) for the days that they would be trained. In speaking with Captain Walker, it appeared that this would total about \$12,100.00, with Supervisors at \$3,000.00, full-time at \$6,500.00 and part-time at \$2,600.00, and the wages might need to be at time and one-half. Additional costs would involve the configuration of the classroom at Dispatch for the two weeks, data entry changes, server re-configuration changes and conversion processes as well as the cutover support (\$7,000 to \$9,000 for approximately 200 support hours).

He suggested that it might be possible for the Commissioners to set aside the funds from the remaining 2010 budget to cover these costs into a reserve that could be expended once the software upgrade would be scheduled and trainer scheduled later this fall. He recommended that the amount set aside be \$39,000 to \$40,000.

As for the SecurManage software/hardware requested by the VOA Administrator, Michael Tausek, J. Arseneau spoke with Mike Tausek of VOA about this card-based system to keep track of the inmates and explained that when he called to research this, there was quite a bit to it. He estimated that it would be about \$9,000.00 for one year and recommended placement of \$9,000.00 in a reserve for this purpose. The funds may not be spent if, after a site visit, it is determined that this will work in the County's facility.

J. Arseneau noted that Captain Walker and Sheriff Story had been reluctant to increase his budget for the Spillman training by the \$39,000.00 to \$40,000.00 to have a Spillman trainer come to the County for two weeks. This would include someone to be here for the "go-live" portion of this, as well. He noted that the next go-round, Waldo will be forced to upgrade. Corrections won't have to be upgraded for a number of years, since that had been the oldest module. He had spoken with Sheriff Story last Friday about these matters. S. Story said he was not as excited about the SecurManage software. If the Commissioners felt it could be funded, fine and if they did not feel it could be funded, it could go back to the State.

Commissioner Fowler corrected that it would be the County's account, not the State's. She noted that currently there are only 13 residents at the Re-entry Center, but will eventually be 32. The SecureManage program will provide an email that pops up if someone does not return.

It was noted that Sheriff Story would like to see what he would get out of the Spillman upgrade first. The new Sentryx has a way to see where all your inmates are. They are not sure how this would work in the Re-entry setting. There would be some duplication of features, but the new one would have some different applications. A. Fowler clarified that \$40,000.00 is being requested, with \$9,000.00 set aside for the other card-based system. She felt that Waldo is a pilot program and should take the lead.

****A. Fowler moved to take \$49,000.00, with \$9,000.00 set aside for SecurManage software as desired in the future.** There was no second.

D. Berry said he would need to be convinced, as he felt a lot of money was being poured into the Re-entry Center and said he wondered how much more money it would continue to require. He mentioned that the

system is constantly changing. He wondered how much the Town of Searsport and City of Belfast were paying for this. “We are serving their prisoners and there is a cost for that; yet we’re afraid to put this in their budget to pay for their part. It’s just another chunk of monies that we’re going to have to upgrade again.”

J. Arseneau explained that with Spillman, the County would get a free upgrade, but because of upgrading the Corrections, it would have to be \$18,000.00 for two weeks of training. D. Berry expressed that this was the money portion that bothered him - not the software, but the cost for training.

A. Fowler wondered why this had not been put into place originally. J. Arseneau responded that originally, Spillman could track this. “You could go with SecurManage, but at some point, the State has put the County into a bit of a spot with the 72-hour hold system. Now that things have changed, SecurManage is web based and CAD will have to be updated.” J. Arseneau stated that he had telephoned the references in Massachusetts to inquire about their opinion and has not heard back as to their opinion of it. He has made several telephone calls to those folks in the Boston area, with no reply to date. A. Fowler said she herself had talked with one of the facilities in Missouri.

D. Berry expressed again, that he was concerned with the cost of overtime and the \$18,000.00 for training. W. Shorey agreed with D. Berry, and said he would have been much happier to have had 30 to 60 days to think about this and for the Towns to have an opportunity to realize how expensive this is to offer them. He added that he would have preferred the same amount of time to have thought about the component the Commissioners just voted on.

O. Smith said they had mentioned to the Firemen at a recent meeting, that they needed to lobby not only the Commissioners as well as the Budget Committee, to convince them of the need. O. Smith said he did not believe the County could continue to pay for these services but, that being said, the County is not working up to the security measures that the State has asked for.

****W. Shorey moved, A. Fowler seconded to table this decision until a date uncertain. Unanimous.**

Discussion: J. Arseneau explained that the only reason this had been brought up now was because there was money in the Corrections from the end of the fiscal year. W. Shorey said he would like this to come before the Budget Committee and for the towns to think about how much it costs. D. Berry explained his recommendation that this be tabled to a time “uncertain,” because it would show up on the agenda every time, and could be discussed any time. It is hard to tell when the Commissioners will be ready to make a decision on this. W. Shorey said he felt that this had its good points, but it is hard for him to understand the value of this in just a fifteen minute discussion.

(Later J. Arseneau later called in on a conference call to say he meant to discuss how to handle surplus money from Corrections for Technology. This was discussed briefly and the Commissioners decided to wait for the audit.)

DISCUSSION OF LEAVE TIME ACCRUAL:

Present for this discussion were Sheriff Scott Story, Chief Deputy Robert Keating and former Sheriff’s Deputy Eugene Rega, who had recently retired and who had sent a letter to the Commissioners indicating that he believed he had not been paid for 60 hours of unused vacation and requesting time on the agenda to speak with them about the matter.

G. Rega explained that from the time he was hired, he never borrowed on vacation time, but always took vacation in the fall, after having completed his first year of employment. He noted that he did not have all his records related to time worked, but had shredded some during the last week of employment. He stated that he did have documentation of the first three years of his employment. He showed the number of days that were carried over each year in which this applied. He stated that he carried two days over to 1980 and did not use 1980's vacation until 1981. In 1981, he took vacation except he ended up using sick leave instead of vacation. He said that this indicated how he had been using vacation leave. He did have the most recent three years. He said it had never been a problem carrying the leave over. He recognized the policies had changed over the years, and thanked M. Wadsworth for sending a number of the policies to him. He referenced the 1999 policy and in that policy the hours changed to days. In that policy, it showed it being earned on an anniversary date, but was then front-loaded. He didn't believe that this included any vacation that had been accrued in the previous year. He referred to the 2003 policy that explains that employee's cannot borrow from their vacation leave. He then looked at the 2006 policy and explained that the vacation would be front-loaded. He didn't think that his other time had been front-loaded and should have shown 300 hours of vacation on the books. He referenced a pay stub, noting that in 2003 when the policy was initiated, he went up to 200 hours front-loaded. From 2003 and 2004 it didn't show that on the paystub, but when 200 hour was front-loaded, it didn't show the other earned time. Then he showed that the 40 hours was added to the balance, but did not reflect what was carried over the year before. He referenced this year's stub, explaining that it didn't show how many hours were for vacation time, and on the final check, that section was no longer on the check stubs, but it didn't show that time spent was 80 hours of vacation.

Human Resources/Payroll Director Michelle Wadsworth explained that that the payroll company was not accounting for it correctly, so she had the company remove that from the pay stubs so it wouldn't show as incorrect. It was being tracked in-house.

S. Story submitted a document in front of him, explaining that employees earn or accrue vacation on a monthly basis. For the purpose of accounting, it was front-loaded. S. Story read a portion of the 2006 Personnel Policy. S. Story, in summation, felt that at least three months had been left off with the changes in the policy.

G. Rega added that, had he taken those days off, someone else would have had to take the shift at overtime. He said it would have cost \$175.00 more for a lower paid employee and over \$600.00 for a higher paid employee.

M. Wadsworth referred to 1995 policy, noting it would be credited, then read a section from 1997 policy. She stated her belief that this time would have either been carried over and then used, or lost if unused. S. Story contended that he believed the 60 hours had not been accounted for on the books.

B. Arseneau asked S. Story how many other employees had not had the time they accrued added to the front-loading in the beginning of the year that this was implemented, since G. Rega's was not. S. Story replied that he did not believe there should be any liability, as he did not believe there were many employees left with that kind of longevity.

****A. Fowler moved, D. Berry seconded to pay the 60 hours of G. Rega's unused vacation leave. Passed by two, with W. Shorey opposed.**

SHERIFF'S REPORT:

Present to deliver this report was Sheriff Scott Story and Chief Deputy Robert Keating, as follows:

1. New hire: S. Story recommended hiring Nicholas Oettinger as full-time Deputy Sheriff, noting that N. Oettinger has been Academy Certified long enough that the County does not have to reimburse for this cost. Because he is Academy Certified and has four year experience, S. Story requested hiring him at the two-year step, which is where he is on the scale since there is no four-year step. He then asked that he be transferred to the fifth year when he reaches that level. Commissioner Berry looked at the Deputies Association Contract in order to determine if this request met the contract specification. There was discussion of how to handle hiring at the four-year step, but not including vacation or other creditable time for benefits.

****A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to hire Nicholas Oettinger as full-time Deputy Sheriff effective July 14, 2010 at \$18.26 per hour for the wages only, not benefits or tenure, with the understanding that on his next anniversary date, he will be paid at the five-year level for wages only. Unanimous.**

2. New hire: Sheriff Story recommended hiring Kyle R. Haseltine as part-time Deputy Sheriff at \$14.09 per hour, effective July 12, 2010.

****A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to hire Kyle R. Haseltine as part-time Deputy Sheriff at \$14.09 per hour, effective July 12, 2010. Unanimous.**

3. Waldo County Detective Merle Reed has requested, on behalf of the Searsport Police Department, a donation of an older a light bar and one older VHS cruiser unit from the County of Waldo Sheriff's Office to the Searsport Police Department.

****A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded to allow the Sheriff's Office to donate an older, spare light bar and one older VHS cruiser unit to Searsport Police Department. Unanimous.**

4. CHAIRS: S. Story explained that Commissioner Fowler has visited the Re-entry facility and requested that six chairs be replaced. S. Story noted that he had no line item for these. A. Fowler stated that she believed twelve chairs were actually needed, but had acquiesced with the Sheriff's request for only six.

CORRESPONDENCE

Present to review correspondence was County Clerk Barbara Arseneau with Deputy County Veronica Spear taking minutes, and Human Resources/Payroll Director Michelle Wadsworth sitting in.

1. During the upcoming August 10, 2010 County Commissioners Court Session, the Commissioners are required to hold the annual Budget Caucus. The invitation to the Selectmen will be sent out this week.

2. The following employee pay step increases were noted by the County Commissioners:

- a. Legal Secretary Carla Rogerson will reach the eight-year pay step on July 15, 2010 with a pay increase from \$18.26 to \$18.62 per hour.
- b. Deputy Gerald Lincoln reached the two-year pay step on July 8, 2010 with a pay increase from \$18.00 to \$18.26 per hour.
- c. Deputy Kevin Littlefield will reach the one-year pay step on July 19, 2010 with a pay increase from \$17.75 to \$18.00 per hour.
Corrections Officer Randy Fox reached the eight-year pay step on July 1, 2010 with an increase in pay from \$16.60 to \$17.14 per hour.

3. The Commissioners reviewed a letter from Searsport Fire Chief James Dittmeier dated July 1, 2010 in which he requested assistance in funding costs related to the Waldo County Confined Space Rescue Team that he established last year. He explained that his request from the County would be approximately \$1,000.00 each year for testing and maintaining the equipment and adding new equipment as needed. The Commissioners expressed interest in this team and said they would address this request in the 2011 budget that will be submitted to the Waldo County Budget Committee this fall.

4. The Commissioners received a copy of the letter from Waldo County Communications Director and MECCA President Owen Smith to the Public Utilities Commission stating the position of the organizations most directly affected by any Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) consolidation, along with a list of ideas and suggestions regarding statewide communications, specifically PSAP consolidation. The Commissioners noted that they had read this.

5. The 2011 budget templates, at the request of Commissioner Berry, need a little “tweaking” and will be sent to the County Agencies and Department Heads as soon as they are ready. The Commissioners requested that the Agencies and Department Heads be notified that there will no longer be any COLA’s (Cost of Living Increases) as the pay scales now have those built in. It was noted that these are difficult economic times and they have not improved over the past year. The Commissioners emphasized that the 2011 departmental budgets need to be held as closely to 2010 budgets as possible and the Commissioners will be examining them closely. M. Wadsworth reminded the Commissioners that the pay scale for the Elected Officials had not been changed.

MINUTES APPROVED:

****D. Berry moved, A. Fowler seconded to approve the minutes from the following Waldo County Commissioners Court Session: June 24, 2008, July 8, 2008, August 12, 2008, June 8, 2010, June 15, 2010 and June 18, 2010. Unanimous.**

MISCELLANEOUS COMMISSIONERS BUSINESS:

1. The Commissioners noted that they received a copy of the response dated July 12, 2010 that Register of Deeds Deloris Page sent to John Simpson regarding his FOAA request.

3. The Commissioners noted that there have been many compliments on the Waldo County Garden.

HEALTH INSURANCE DISCUSSION:

Due to the meeting that had been called by Treasurer David Parkman at 1:00 p.m. today with BOC members, Senator Carol Weston and various representatives, the Commissioners tabled the health insurance discussion until August 17, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.

NEXT COURT SESSION:

The next Commissioners Court Session will be August 10, 2010 unless the Commissioners call a special court session.

****A. Fowler moved, W. Shorey seconded adjourning the Commissioners Court Session at 1:45 p.m. Unanimous.**

Respectfully submitted by Barbara L. Arseneau
Waldo County Clerk